

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,249	12/05/2001	Michael John Stephen Austin	S63.2-10014	8321
490 7	590 11/18/2002			
VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS, P.A.			EXAMINER	
6109 BLUE CIRCLE DRIVE SUITE 2000			WEBB, SARAH K	
MINNETONK	A, MN 55343-9185		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

t -		_	ķΛ		
	Application No. Applicant(s)				
Offic Action Summary	09/683,249	AUSTIN, MICHAEL JOH	AUSTIN, MICHAEL JOHN		
ome Action cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TI. MALLINO DATE CHI	Sarah K Webb	3731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic	cation.		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 D</u>	<u> ecember 2001</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims	nce except for formal ma Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	tters, prosecution as to the mer D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	its is		
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to					
8) Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· ·	•		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		isapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa					
	arrinter.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	priority under 25 H C C	C 440(-) (-l) (5)			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	have been received				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		unliantian Na			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	5			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applic	ation).		
a) The translation of the foreign language prov					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)		(DTC 442) 5			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	- ·		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/683,249

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-22,29,31,32, drawn to stent structure, classified in class 623, subclass

1.16.

II. Claims 23-28,30,33, drawn to method of manufacturing a stent, classified in class

623, subclass 901.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions

are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the

stent could be made from a different process other than the claimed method of manufacturing.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required

for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is

proper.

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

If applicant elects Group I above, election of one of the following species is required:

a. Figure 1: claims 1-4

b. Figure 2 : claims 5-9

c. Figures 3-5 : claims 10-19

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/683,249

Art Unit: 3731

d. Figures 6A and 6B: claim 22

If applicant elects Group II above, election of one of the following species is required:

- a. Claim 27, laser welding
- b. Claim 28, application of coating to stent
- c. Claim 30, heat treating the stent

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 20,21,29,31,32 are generic for Group I, and claims 23-26 and 33 are generic for Group II.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. A telephone call was made to Jonathan Grad on November 4, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,249

Art Unit: 3731

Page 4

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah K Webb whose telephone number is (703) 305-7554. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-4:30pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on 703-308-2496. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular communications and (703) 305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Sarah K Webb Examiner Art Unit 3731

SW

November 4, 2002

Michael Milano

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3700